Expanding the Eurovision Network with fibre, using Dynamic Transfer Mode (DTM)

NET *working?* Broadcast Networks and their security 16 and 17 June 2004

Didier Debellemanière Head of Technical Development

debellemaniere@eurovision.net



 How unilateral traffic is moving from Satellite to Fibre in Europe



Building fibre routes



- The growth of the Internet led to massive investment in building fibre routes
- From 1995 to 2000, capacity in Europe grew twenty-fold
- Demand was overestimated



A threat for unilateral traffic

 EBU members are starting to take up low-cost offers for fibre connections from international carriers

however

- For multi-lateral transmissions, fibre is inadequate today
- Only « big » routes are ready



Where is the traffic ?

unilateral traffic 2001





Where is the traffic ?



transported on fibre



2. The fibre trial (July 02-July 03) How to use fibre for Eurovision ?





The current Eurovision network

- ✓ 100% satellite
- European and Transatlantic coverage
- Dedicated to Broadcasters only





Two possibilities for Eurovision

EBU Optimum Position





Which technology ?

Several technologies are available:

- ATM: Most widely used approach. The standard for telephony networks.
- IP/MPLS: Technology pushed by the Internet. Not primarily designed for real-time applications
- DTM: Seeks to overcome risks of packet-based systems. Developed by NetInsight.



The fibre trial (July 2002 / July 2003)

- Decision was taken in July 2002 to investigate fibre technologies for Eurovision.
- Several solutions were investigated:
 - ✓ ATM
 - ✓ Virtual private network MPLS (ATM interface)
 - ✓ Virtual private network MPLS (IP interface)
 - ✓ Private network MPLS
 - ✓ Private network DTM



ATM



- Proven & reliable
- Only competitive on existing networks
- Limited flexibility
- Limited reach on international networks



IP/MPLS shared network (VPN)



- Flexible
- Pushed by the market
- Many suppliers
- QoS issues for real time applications (video)
- No control on the network



IP/MPLS dedicated network



• Flexible

÷

- Pushed by the market
- Many suppliers
- Expensive
- Complex to manage



DTM dedicated network



Flexible

-

- Perfect QoS
- Simple to manage
- One supplier



Recommendation/1

- Solutions based on the use of public networks (VPN) make it difficult to maintain the QoS
- Building our own network (using managed lines) is now affordable
- ⇒ Building a private network was recommended



Recommendation/2

- ✓ Two technologies are competing: IP/MPLS or DTM
- ✓ DTM better suits our needs and is trusted by our clients
- IP/MPLS appears complex and not well-adapted to real time video
- ⇒ DTM was recommended



3. The Project Building the Network





DTM transport of services





DTM-The Nimbra platform





DTM-Access Interfaces

Nimbra One **CPE chassis for** 7 cards





Voice/G703



E1 / T1





DTM-Trunk interfaces

Nimbra One

Chassis for 7 cards





Dark fiber 1 Gbps



STM-1 / OC-3 155 Mbps



STM-4 / OC-12 622 Mbps











Performance

✓ Low latency of the network (without including MPEG encoding) <25ms intra Europe, <60ms transatlantic</p>

REYKJAVIK

RIO DE JANER

Eutelsat W 10° East

- ✓ No jitter
- ✓ No overbooking

MEXICO CITY

✓ 93% of usable bandwidth

SANTIAGO

CARACAS

RUENCIS AIRES

Pr-



Intelsat 701

180° East

Deployment

- ✓ June 2004: permanent connections
- ✓ Summer 2004: On-demand services
- <figure>

