Competitive Services for the Delivery of Digital Content

Leonardo Chiariglione – CEDEO.net *Competitive Platforms for the Delivery of Digital Content* Genève, CH – 2007/06/14





Everything changes – nothing is stable

- In the *old* content business delivering content is the bottleneck
 - Scarcity dictates the rules of business because getting content to end users is costly
- Digital technologies change everything
 - More capacity in existing delivery systems
 - New delivery systems pop up every other day
- In the *new* business of content making content is the bottleneck
 - Abundance dictates the rules of business because people can get everything from everywhere





Coping with the new

- If the present is hostile turn the clock back
 - Artificial scarcity via DRM use of content by rules
 - Flow restriction via proprietary DRM use of content by device
 - Legal framework to criminalise non-conforming behaviour
- Users
 - Consumers: cope by becoming P2P adepts
 - Creators: cope by flooding the world with UGC
- Unfortunate because we are
 - Suppressing drive to create
 - Forfeiting opportunities offered by digital technologies



2007/06/14



Hard choices

- Trying to turn the clock back is futile
 - People are accustomed to abundance
 - A "visible" DRM is rejected by users
 - Treating customers as criminals does not pay
- What remains if content has no intrinsic value
 - Society driven by Menthos in Coca Cola?
 - Worth of content = ability to carry ads?
 - Intermediary the only one profiting from creations?
- The three "respect"
 - Respect creators and their creations
 - Respect intermediaries and their roles
 - Respect end users and their privacy





Digital Rights Management

- DRM is good words turned into a curse, but
 - Digital: it's the digital age, right?
 - Rights: there are rights in the Information Society, or not?
 - Management: would you let me manage my rights?
- DRM is about providing the means for people to manage their rights using digital technologies
- The problem: by managing my rights I can affect your rights
 - Especially when all these "rights" are far from being unambiguously defined





"Good" and "bad" examples of DRM

- I send my credit card data over SSL
- I send my daughter's pictures to a group of friends
- I distribute my novel with the digital version of a Creative Commons licence
- I sell my DVD with zero region code
- I sell my DVD with a non-zero region code
- I broadcast my content as pay TV on DTT in Italy
- I sell my songs on the web in encrypted form





The demand for interoperability

- Two opposing views
 - Because it's digital it should let people do less
 - Because it's digital it should let people do more
- The new discovery: once they have got the music consumers want to play it where they want :-)
- Two modern ways to solve an old problem
 - A legal approach: punish success
 - A successful proprietary DRM is forced to open up
 - A technical approach
 - Make a conversion system between any proprietary DRMs
- The old way: the KISS principle (Keep It Simple, Stupid)
 - User behaviour innovation is not easy because technology innovation is easy
 - Technology is complex but humans are much more complex
 - Systems should be simple (or appear to be simple)





DRM is a good vehicle to do business with content, but it should...

- Be simple
- Not be intrusive
- Enhance, not upset the user experience
- Allow third parties to plug in their services
- Be standards-based
- Be flexible to design, build and operate value chains
- Be implemented as Open Source Software
- Be available as a technology platform
- Be inexpensive





A Dream?

- Actually no, all the above exists ©
 - The standards are provided by MPEG-21
 - The platform is provided by the Interoperable DRM Platform of the Digital Media Project (DMP)
 - A toolkit!
 - The OSS is provided by Chillout of the DMP
 - Released under Mozilla Public Licence v.1.1
 - Catered for by an international community
- We must win heart and mind of end users because they
 - Have been abused for a decade
 - Have to feel like being part of the system





An implementation: Digital Media in Italia (dmin.it)

- **dmin.it proposes** actions designed to maximise the flow of digital media, bearing in mind that
 - Creators and end users seek freedom of access
 - Intermediaries seek freedom of technology choices
- The general recipe
 - An operator may utilise both interoperable and proprietary technologies
 - Creators and end-users may access proprietary or interoperable services at their discretion
- For three different areas:
 - Digital rights management
 - Network access
 - Payment systems





Interoperable DRM (iDRM)

- 1. A national iDRM specification is adopted that is
 - 1. Public
 - 2. Implemented as Open Source Software (OSS)
 - 3. Not prescriptive of particular business models
- 2. A service provider releasing content using a proprietary DRM technology (pDRM) must
 - 1. Release it also using the iDRM technology
 - 2. At conditions that are not discriminatory wrt pDRM
- 3. Anybody may
 - 1. Realise devices and services
 - 2. Request and obtain conformance certification
 - 3. Offer devices and services to interested parties
- 4. The iDRM ecosystem governance is managed by representatives of the affected parties





Open network

- 1. Two-way broadband operators offer bundled and/or unbundled access to their networks with technical features of their choice
- 2. Any network user may request and obtain from a two-way broadband operator
 - 1. The raw two-way service-agnostic access to the "big Internet"
 - 2. With technical features already offered by the operator
 - 3. At conditions that are not discriminatory wrt the operator's offer
- 3. Two-way broadband operators
 - 1. Guarantee network service interoperability
 - 2. Agree and provide specific QoS levels at peering points so as to provide users end to end QoS levels





Open payment system

- An operator may offer non-monetary "account" services
- "Account" services interoperate with those offered by other operators
 - For inter-account transactions related to digital media
- Anybody can open an "account" based on a guaranteed "real" payment system, e.g.
 - Bank account, credit card, prepaid card, utility bill (gas, phone etc.)
- Synchronisation between virtual and real circuits is performed at regular times or on demand
 - Lower transaction costs





Conclusions

- The way they want it
 - Content providers want to keep control of the use of their content, but
 - End users want freedom to select, get and use content
 - They have grown accustomed to do exactly that
 - Service providers want to tie their services to delivery, but
 - End users could not care less of delivery dependence
 - They have grown accustomed to delivery-agnostic services
- Fighting against end users' wishes is like fighting against windmills
- The way they may want it
 - Providing what end-users want the way they want
 - Broad access to content
 - Respect of rights that respect end users
 - Unspoilt user experience
 - Competing in making the end user happy not unhappy



